

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, APRIL 20, 1998
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S061765 Robert M. Ridgley et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants
 v.
 Topa Thrift and Loan Association, Defendant and Appellant
 The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed. The matter is
 transferred to the Court of Appeal for consideration of plaintiffs'
 appeal.

Werdegar, J.

We Concur:
George, C.J.
Kennard, J.
Baxter, J.
Chin, J.
Brown, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Mosk, J.

S063364 In re Estate of Evelyn J. Condon, Deceased
1st Dist. -----
A074636 Michael R. Condon et al., Appellants
Div. 3 v.
 Caroline M. McHenry, Respondent
 Insofar as they refer to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate
 District, Division Two, the caption and the text of the order filed on
 April 15, 1998, are hereby amended to refer to the Court of Appeal,
 First Appellate District, Division Three.

S059064 Jeffrey Lane et al., Appellants
 v.
 Hughes Aircraft Company, Respondent
 On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is
 ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's reply brief on the
 merits is extended to and including May 20, 1998.

S060624 In re Oscar Gates
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including May 18, 1998.

S065511 People, Respondent
 v.
 George Barella, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including May 20, 1998.

S067606 Richard Lacy Letner, Petitioner
 v.

Tulare County Superior Court, Respondent
Daniel Lungren, as State Attorney General, Real Party in Interest

On petitioner's application and good cause appearing, petitioner is granted to and including May 1, 1998, to file a reply to the informal response.

S064870 Vickey Kraus et al., Respondents
 v.

Trinity Management Services, Inc. et al., Appellants
And Companion Case

The application of First Healthcare Corporation for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted.

A consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs thereto may be served and filed by any party on or before June 1, 1998.

S064870 Vickey Kraus et al., Respondents
 v.

Trinity Management Services, Inc. et al., Appellants
And Companion Case

The application of Public Counsel Law Center for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted.

A consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs thereto may be served and filed by any party on or before June 1, 1998.

S064870 Vickey Kraus et al., Respondents

v.

Trinity Management Services, Inc. et al., Appellants
And Companion Case

The application of State Farm Insurance Companies for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted.

A consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs thereto may be served and filed by any party on or before June 1, 1998.

S064917 People, Respondent

v.

David Winston Gainey, Appellant

The application of Public Defenders of Alameda, San Francisco, and Santa Clara counties for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellant is hereby granted.

An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party within twenty days of the filing of the brief.

Adm. Order 98-1 Order Approving Amendments to Rule 952.6 of the California Rules of Court

The court hereby adopts the following amendments to rule 952.6 of the California Rules of Court as set forth below:

Rule 952.6(c) [Service and confidentiality]

~~. . . Filings pursuant to this rule shall be confidential, unless such confidentiality is waived by the applicant in writing, or unless otherwise ordered by the Supreme Court.~~

Rule 952.6 (d) [Confidentiality]

All filings under this rule shall be confidential unless: (1) the applicant waives confidentiality in writing; or (2) the Supreme Court grants review. Once the Supreme Court grants review, filings under this rule shall be open to the public; however, if good cause exists, the Supreme Court may order portions of the record or the identity of witnesses or other third parties to the proceedings to remain confidential.

S067883 In re **Michael Monroe Kimbrough** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Michael Monroe Kimbrough** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955,

California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067884 In re **Garland Jeanne E. Greenawalt** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Garland Jeanne E. Greenawalt** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067889 In re **Louis Honig, Jr.** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Louis Honig, Jr.** be suspended from the practice of law for two years as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed November 20, 1997. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on May 3, 1993. (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270.) Prior to transfer to active membership status he shall be required to show proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his: 1) rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; 2) passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination; and 3) completion of the State Bar Ethics School. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7, as amended effective January 1, 1997.

S067890 In re **Ben Darwin Hyde** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Ben Darwin Hyde** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California

Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067940 In re **Cesar Enrique Trevino** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Cesar Enrique Trevino** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067941 In re **Stanford Y. K. Lau** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Stanford Y. K. Lau** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including actual suspension for 90 days, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Further Order Regarding Stipulation filed December 11, 1997. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable as recommended by the aforementioned order of the State Bar Court.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067943 In re **Mohamad Toufic Nehmeh** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mohamad Toufic Nehmeh** be suspended from the practice of law for 90 days, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 3 years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding the stipulation filed December 18, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year

after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S067948 In re **Kirsten Beth Anderson** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Kirsten Beth Anderson** be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. She is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

